

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,996	10/25/2001	Jurgen Ramm	H60-104 US	3331
21706	7590 07/31/2003			·
NOTARO AND MICHALOS 100 DUTCH HILL ROAD SUITE 110			· EXAMINER	
			HITESHEW, FE	LISA CARLA
ORANGEBU	RG, NY 10962-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~ <del>  </del>	T			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Hiterhew				
	th ternew	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address			
P ri d for Response					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by deferming the period for response will,</li> </ul>	a response within the statute ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication .			
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939	for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed in 3.			
Disp sition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-48	is are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
√Claim(s) <u>1, 15,3</u> <del>7</del>	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s) 2-14; 16-36; and 38-48	is/@ objected to.				
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers		requirement.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1997)</li> </ul>	the priority documents h	ave been			
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inte					
*Certified copies not received:		·			
Attachment(s)	4				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413			
✓ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 '	Other			
Office	Acti n Summary				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	O: 1997-417-381/62710	Part of Paner No. 9.			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. \*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Application/Control Number: 10/002,996

Art Unit: 1765

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 has been received and reviewed. However, the information disclosure is not deemed to be pertinent over the prior art of record.

### **Drawings**

### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings **MUST** be filed within the **THREE MONTH** shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

# 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, **MUST** be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings **MUST** be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

### **Timing of Corrections**

Application/Control Number: 10/002,996

Art Unit: 1765

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.185(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set (or extended) period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

2. The drawings filed on 10/25/2001 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated on the attached "Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "Method" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word -- A before the word "method" for proper antecedence.
- 4. Claims 2-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terminology "... characterized in that..." does not meet up to date U.S. Patent practice standards. Is the claim language open or closed? The terminology is vague and indefinite.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, lines 2-3, "predetermined minimum time period..." is being considered vague and indefinite. What is the minimum time period? A claim is indefinite where it specifies "predetermined" temperatures, etc., when "predetermined" according

Application/Control Number: 10/002,996

Art Unit: 1765

to applicant's (or applicants') definition, merely means determined before hand. See Seagrams & Sons Inc., vs Mattell, 84 U.S.P.Q. 180. Therefore, the claim(s) is\are unpatentable under 35 U.S.P.Q. 112 second paragraph.

The use of "predetermined" reads on a nebulous mental step conducted prior to the manipulative steps of the claimed invention, hence rendering the present process claims unclear in meaning and scope. If applicant wishes to patent detailed controls over the recited process, they must be positively recited.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 37, line 2, and the terminology "predetermined number of passes..." is being considered vague and indefinite. What is the number of passes of numerical range of

passes?

A claim is indefinite where it specifies "predetermined" temperatures, etc., when "predetermined" according to applicant's (or applicants') definition, merely means determined before hand. See Seagrams & Sons Inc., vs Mattell, 84 U.S.P.Q. 180. Therefore, the claim(s) is\are unpatentable under 35 U.S.P.Q. 112 second paragraph. The use of "predetermined" reads on a nebulous mental step conducted prior to the manipulative steps of the claimed invention, hence rendering the present process claims unclear in meaning and scope. If applicant wishes to patent detailed controls over the recited process, they must be positively recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,996 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

- 7. Claims 2-14; 16-36 and 38-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The most relevant prior art of reference was U.S. Patent No. 5, 916, 365 (Sherman). However, it does not teach nor fairly suggest singularly or in any combination thereof a method for producing substrates charged with materials, in which a) at least one substrate is introduced into an evacuated vacuum container; b) the surface of the substrate to be charged is exposed to a reactive gas which is adsorbed on the surface; c) the exposure of the surface to the reactive gas is terminated; d) the reactive gas adsorbed on the surface is allowed to react, characterized in that d<sub>1</sub>) the surface with the adsorbed reactive gas is exposed to a low-energy plasma discharge with ion energy E<sub>10</sub> on the surface of the substrate of:

$$0 < E_{10} < 20 \text{ eV}$$

and an electron energy  $E_{\text{eo}}$  of

$$0 < E_{eo} < 100 \text{ eV};$$

d<sub>2</sub>) the adsorbed reactive gas is allowed to react at least with the cooperation of plasma-generated ions and electrons.

Art Unit: 1765

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTOL 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (703) 308-2545. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech, can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

FELISA HITESHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1765